

11/5/69- Paul- 544 & IV

Recently you told me the DJ had sent you a copy of what they had been withholding on the Ismont pamphlet and the 544 address. I am very anxious to see any covering letter and any notes that may have been ^{with} it. I am also anxious to see if it is completely identical with other copies.

Increasingly this shows as an area of official tenderness. Enclosed is the O Post R 6 letter Liebhaf drafted to send to Bringuier, for Rankin's signature. Rhoads sent it to me in non-explanation of not supplying the single annotated page I've been seeking for about a year, if, in fact, I did not early ask for it but not in writing. Note that it was returned by hand, not mail, a needless cost and waste of manpower.

And, increasingly, other tender areas with superficial indications of relationship suggest themselves.

In most cases, there was not this unseemly haste in returning evidence. The New Orleans investigation had just begun. However, it had already been decided that certain things would be suppressed. Example: the David Chandler report of December, that Oswald had told him of Bringuier's connection with across-the-lake paramilitary activities, and the real dates of the Oswald-Bringuier known contact and what was known of the camps. Getting rid of this so soon is consistent with anything but honest purposes as it is with obfuscation. Bringuier, you see, was also connected with both the 544 address and those also connected with it. He was pr man for the CRC, in addition to other things.

Those whose promises are not to be depended upon have informed me of corroboration of some of my unsubstantiated local investigations and promised me copies of new information. I should like to have all of this in mind before I get that and before I return to writing in other areas.

Anxiously,
HW